Direct Replacement of an Agostic Hydrogen Atom by an Isolobal Gold Ligand; X-Ray Crystal Structure of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2){\mu-\sigma: \eta^4-CH(Me)CHCHAu(PMe_2Ph)}(CO)_4]$

Andrew D. Horton,^a Martin J. Mays,*a and Mary McPartlin*b

^a University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, U.K.

^b School of Chemistry, The Polytechnic of North London, Holloway Road, London N7 8DB, U.K.

Treatment of the complex $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\sigma:\eta^4-CH(Me)CHCH_2\}(CO)_4]$ (1a) with $[AuCl(PMe_2Ph)]$ in the presence of base gives $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\sigma:\eta^4-CH(Me)CHCHAu(PMe_2Ph)\}(CO)_4]$ (2); X-ray structure analysis of (2) confirms that this reaction provides the first example of the direct replacement of an agostic hydrogen atom by a similarly bonded isolobal gold ligand.

We have previously described the synthesis of the complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2)(\mu-\sigma:\eta^4-CH(Me)CRCH_2)(CO)_4]$, (1a, R = H) and (1b, R = Me).¹ ¹H N.m.r. spectroscopy together with X-ray structure analysis of (1b) confirmed that



Figure 1. The molecular structure of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}H_{5})-MoMn(\mu-PPh_{2})\{\mu-\sigma:\eta^{4}-CH(Me)CHCHAu(PMe_{2}Ph)\}(CO)_{4}]$ (2). Selected bond lengths and angles: Au-Mn 2.716(2), Au-P(1) 2.258(4), Au-C(1) 2.186(12), Mo-Mn 2.824(2), Mo-P(2) 2.430(3), Mo-C(1) 2.234(11), Mo-C(2) 2.233(11), Mo-C(3) 2.386(12), Mo-C(C_{5}H_{5} av.) 2.341(11), Mn-P(2) 2.241(4), Mn-C(1) 2.263(10), Mn ··· C(26) 2.730(1), C(1)-C(2) 1.423(14), C(2)-C(3) 1.41(15), C(3)-C(4) 1.495(17) Å; Mn-Au-C(1) 53.7(3), Mo-Mn-Au 101.4(1), Mo-P(2)-Mn 74.3(1), Mo-C(1)-Mn 77.8(3)°.

both these complexes contain an allyl group which is η^3 bonded to the molybdenum atom and, in addition, is bonded to the manganese atom via an agostic² three-centre twoelectron C-H-Mn interaction. Such an interaction is known to increase the acidity of the hydrogen atom concerned³ and we now report that (1a) can be readily deprotonated (Scheme 1), forming an anion which reacts with [AuCl(PMe₂Ph)] to give $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\sigma:\eta^4-CH(Me)CHCHAu (PMe_2Ph)$ (CO)₄ (2).† An X-ray structure analysis of (2)‡ shows that it has a structure similar to that of $(1a)^1$ but with the agostic hydrogen atom replaced by an analogously bonded Au(PMe₂Ph) group. The isolobal nature of H and AuL groups is well known⁴ and there are many examples of Au-L bridged analogues of complexes containing H-bridged metal-metal bonds.4,5 However, although complexes containing goldbridged metal-carbon bonds have been characterised previously,6.7 complex (2) provides the first example of an AuL-bridged analogue of a stable complex containing an agostic C-H-M interaction. The direct replacement of an agostic H-bridge by an AuL bridge has not been observed previously and is a striking demonstration of the application of the isolobal principle to this bonding situation.

The neutral gold complex (2) is most conveniently obtained in one step from (1a) by deprotonation with the nitrogen base 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) in CH_2Cl_2 solution in the presence of [AuCl(PMe_2Ph)]. The colour of the

‡ Crystal data for (2): C₃₃H₃₂AuMnMoO₄P₂, M = 902.40, monoclinic, space group P2₁/c, a = 13.195(2), b = 13.943(2), c = 17.981(3) Å, $\beta = 103.60^{\circ}$, U = 3215.35 Å³, Z = 4, F(000) = 1752, $D_c = 1.876$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K_o) 52.14 cm⁻¹, R = 0.0551, $R_w = 0.0575$ for 4154 diffractometer data, with $I/\sigma(I) \ge 3.0$. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

[†] Spectroscopic data: (2) v_{CO} (CH₂Cl₂) 1968s, 1887m, 1863m, and 1809w cm⁻¹; ¹H n.m.r. (CDCl₃) δ 7.8—7.2 (m, 15H, Ph), 5.0 (obscured, H³), 4.98 [d, ³J(PH) 1.3, 5H, C₅H₅], 2.06 [dq, J₁₃ 9.5, J₁₂ 6.3 Hz, 1H, H¹], 1.90 [d, ²J(PH) 9.8 Hz, 3H, PMe^aMe^bPh], 1.89 [d, ²J(PH) 9.7 Hz, 3H, PMe^aMe^bPh], 1.59 (d, 3H, Me²), and 1.28 [ddd, ³J(PH) 21.8, 7.0, J₄₄ 7.0 Hz, 1H, H⁴].



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: i, LiBuⁿ, thf, room temperature; ii, CF₃CO₂H, thf, room temperature; iii, DBU, [AuCl(PMe₂Ph)], CH₂Cl₂, room temperature; iv, [AuCl(PMe₂Ph)], CH₂Cl₂, room temperature.

solution changes from orange to bright red in 30 s and, after column chromatography, (2) was isolated in *ca*. 80% yield.

The structure of (2), shown in Figure 1, is remarkably similar to that of (1b) despite the replacement of the agostic C–H–Mn bond in (1a) by a C–Au–Mn bond in (2), although the Mo–Mn distance of 2.824(2) Å in (2) is greater than that in (1b) [2.806(1) Å] for which a partial multiple metal–metal bond has been postulated.¹ The Au–Mn bond length of 2.716(2) Å in (2) is longer than the corresponding distance in complexes such as $[(Ph_3P)AuMn(CO)_4P(OC_6H_5)_3]$ [2.57(1) Å]⁸ containing two-centre two-electron bonds and is closer to those of 2.696(6) and 2.681(6) Å found in [Mn₂- $(\mu$ -PPh₂){ μ -Au(PMe₂Ph)}(CO)₈]⁹ in which a three-centre two-electron bond is also involved.

Deprotonation of complex (1a) with LiBuⁿ in tetrahydrofuran (thf) followed by addition of excess of CD₃CO₂D to the solution gave complex $[{}^{2}H_{1}]$ -(1a) with a parent molecular ion at m/z 569. The ¹H n.m.r. spectrum of $[{}^{2}H_{1}]$ -(1a) reveals that the deuterium is located exclusively at the agostic site and does not migrate to other sites over long periods in solution. This evidence strongly indicates that the anion from which (2)is derived should be formulated as $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)MoMn(\mu-PPh_2)\{\mu-\sigma:\eta^4-CH(Me)CHCH\}(CO)_4]^-$ (3) as shown in Scheme 1. In addition to reacting with [AuCl(PMe₂Ph)] the anion (3) reacts with a variety of electrophiles to give stable products.

We thank Dr. A. G. Kent for valuable discussion and the S.E.R.C. and BP Chemicals for financial support.

Received, 25th September 1986; Com. 1367

References

- 1 A. D. Horton, M. J. Mays, and P. R. Raithby, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1985, 247.
- 2 M. Brookhart and M. L. H. Green, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 250, 395.
- 3 M. Brookhart, W. Lamanna, and A. R. Pinhas, *Organometallics*, 1983, **2**, 638.
- 4 K. P. Hall and D. M. P. Mingos, Progr. Inorg. Chem., 1984, 32, 237.
- 5 J. W. Lauher and K. Wald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 7648.
- 6 V. G. Andrianov, Yu. T. Struchov, and E. R. Rossinskaja, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1973, 338; T. V. Baukova, Yu. L. Slovokhotov and Yu. T. Struchov, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 221, 375.
- 7 G. A. Carriedo, J. A. K. Howard, P. Mitprachachon, J. L. Spencer, F. G. A. Stone, and P. Woodward, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 2545.
- 8 Kh. A. I. F. M. Mannan, Acta Crystallogr., 1967, 23, 649.
- 9 J. A. Iggo, M. J. Mays, P. R. Raithby, and K. Henrick, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 633.